Case 1:20-mi-00129-EPG Document 100 Filed 11/27/20 Page 1 of 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNI	ΓED STATES OF AMERICA,	
	Plaintiff,) Case No. 1:20-mj-00129 EPG
	VS.	DETENTION ORDER
DAV	ID ZACHOCKI,))
	Defendant.)))
A.		pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail Reform Act, the Court etained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i).
В.	 Statement Of Reasons For The Detention The Court orders the defendant's detention because it finds: ✓ By a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. 	
С.	in the Pretrial Services Report, and in (1) Nature and circumstance (a) The crime: 18 U.s. is a serious crime and (b) The offense is a cr (c) The offense involved.	s of the offense charged: S.C. § 922(g)-Felon in Possession of a Firearm. carries a maximum penalty of: 10 year maximum/\$250,000 Fine ime of violence.
	(a) General Factors: The defendant appears appear. The defendant The de	e against the defendant is high. Stics of the defendant, including: It has no family ties in the area. It has no steady employment. It has no substantial financial resources. It is not a long time resident of the community. It does not have any significant community ties. It has a history relating to drug abuse. It has a history relating to alcohol abuse. It has a significant prior criminal record. It has a prior record of failure to appear at court proceedings.

DETENTION ORDER - Page 2 Document 100 Filed 11/27/20 Page 2 of 2

(b) Whether the defendant was on probation, parole, or release by a court:
At the time of the current arrest, the defendant was on:
Probation
Parole
Release pending trial, sentence, appeal, or completion of sentence.
(c) Other Factors:
The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation.
The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted.
Other:
Other.
(4) The nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's release are as follows:
(5) Rebuttable Presumptions
In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied on the following rebuttable
presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. §3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:
a. That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of
the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because
the Court finds that the crime involves:
(A) A crime of violence;
(A) At ethic of violence, (B) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death;
(C) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10
years or more; or,
(D) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of 2 or more prior
offenses described in (A) through (C) above, and the defendant has a prior
conviction for one of the crimes mentioned in (A) through (C) above which is
less than 5 years old and which was committed while the defendant was on
pretrial release.
b. That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of
the defendant as required and the safety of the community because the Court finds that
there is probable cause to believe:
(A) That the defendant has committed a controlled substance violation which
has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more.
(B) That the defendant has committed an offense under 18 U.S.C. §924(c)
(uses or carries a firearm during and in relation to any crime of violence,
including a crime of violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment if
committed by the use of a deadly or dangerous weapon or device).
<u>Additional Directives</u>
Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:
The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility
separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending
appeal; the defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and, that on order
of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the
corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the
purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: November 27, 2020 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

D.